



**Addendum #1**  
**to RFP #02-25/26**  
**SUATS Palmetto Park Greenway Feasibility Study and**  
**Conceptual Engineering**

**Question #1 - Is there a preferred page limit for the proposal?**

**Answer #1** – No, while there is not a preferred page limit for the proposals, brevity and conciseness is encouraged.

**Question #2 - The RFP states to list all similar projects in the last 5 years. Due to our large nationwide footprint, this list is very long. Should we tailor this list to just the Southeast or similar?**

**Answer #2** – Please provide a recent and illustrative sample of projects of similar nature and scope undertaken by the proposed vendor. Recent projects of a similar nature and scope undertaken in South Carolina and/or the Southeast should be included in the proposal. We understand that respondents with nationwide and/or global footprints may have a very long list of similar projects, and a complete accounting of projects is not intended or required.

**Question #3 - Regarding the requirement for “a list of all similar projects”, could you clarify what level of detail you would like for each project?**

**Answer #3** – The list of projects should include the name, location, project owner, status (e.g. planned, under construction, built) and brief description (2-3 sentences) for each project.

**Question #4 - Regarding the requirement for “a list of all similar projects”, The selection criteria reference the “number and size of similar projects.” Could you expand on how you would like “size” to be defined (e.g., project budget, mileage, scope)**

**Answer #4** – The list of similar projects should include projects of similar length, scope, and urban context as the project contemplated in this RFP.

**Question #5 - Will the evaluation process include a DBE preference or a DBE participation goal established by the City or MPO?**

**Answer #5** – No, there is no stated DBE preference or participation goal for this project established by the City or MPO.

**Question #6 - Will the City be providing a match to the MPO funding for this study?**

**Answer #6** – This project is funded fully by SUATS MPO via its Regional Mobility Program fund. The City of Sumter will not be required to provide matching funds for the feasibility study phase of the project.

**Question #7 - The RFP contains language regarding survey and geotechnical services. Should the Prime consultant provide survey and geotechnical services teaming partners, or will these services be contracted separately by the City?**

**Answer #7** – Proposed vendors should include survey and geotechnical services responsive to the RFP scope as part of their proposals. The City does not plan to issue any separate service contracts with consultants for this project beyond the contract contemplated in this RFP.

**Question #8 - Regarding underground utilities, is GIS information sufficient?**

**Answer #8** – GIS-based underground utility information is sufficient for this phase of the project. No detailed subsurface utility exploration (SUE) scope is intended in this phase.

**Question #9 - Do you anticipate holding interviews, in-person or otherwise? If so, is there a tentative timeline for this process?**

**Answer #9** – The review committee may elect to hold interviews with responding firms, with an option for in-person or virtual (Microsoft Teams) mechanism. However, that decision will be made after the committee's review of proposals, and may not be needed in order to make a decision on which consultant to recommend for contract negotiations.

**Acknowledgement of Receipt of Addendum #1:**

---

*Printed Name*

---

*Title*

---

*Organization*