SUATS

Long-Range Transportation Plan

Draft Report September 2007

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. in cooperation with

SUATS
Long-Range Transportation Plan

Acknowledgements

Development of the *SUATS Long-Range Transportation Plan* was a collaborative process that involved numerous stakeholders, including the Transportation Plan Advisory Group, City and County staff, and the South Carolina Department of Transportation. The general public also provided input for this plan at public workshops held on August 10, 2006 and August 29, 2007. All of their efforts are greatly appreciated.

Transportation Plan Advisory Group

Al McElveen
Ann August
Ben Griffith
Chris Lacy
Chuck Fienning
Dennis Gordon
Doc Dunlap
Donna McCullum
Eddie Newman
Gary Hallmark
Grier Blackwelder
Les Carpenter
Linda Pekuri
Louis Tisdale
Mac Kolb
Mark Pleasant
Mike Sullivan
Norman Jackson
O.J. Papucci
Randall Young
Ray Reich
Rick Levy
Sid Bacchus
Tammy Hodge
Tom Olsen

SUATS Planning Staff

Charles Holmes
George McGregor
Donna McCullum
Helen Roodman
Julie Coker

Consultants

Allison Fluitt
Brett Wood
Janet Doughty
Jonathan Whitehurst
Matt Noonkester
Mike Rutkowski
Stephen Stansbery

SUATS
Long-Range Transportation Plan

Table of Contents

Chapter 1 – Background and History 1.1

Chapter 2 – Introduction and Vision 2.1

Figure 2.1 – Study Area 2.2

Chapter 3 – Social and Environmental Screening 3.1

Figure 3.1 – Natural Resources 3.2

Figure 3.2 – Environmental Issues 3.3

Figure 3.3 – Social Features 3.5

Figure 3.4 – Percent Minority 3.6

Figure 3.5 – Percent Hispanic 3.7

Figure 3.6 – Percent Below Poverty 3.8

Chapter 4 – Existing Roadway Element 4.1

Table 4.1 – Activity Centers 4.1

Figure 4.1 – Functional Classification 4.2

Figure 4.2 – 2000 Congested Corridors 4.7

Table 4.2 – Intersection Priority Rankings 4.9

Figure 4.3 – Crash Location Rankings 4.10

Chapter 5 – Future Roadway Element 5.1

Figure 5.1 – Congested Corridors (Existing Plus Committed) 5.2

Figure 5.2 – Recommended Roadway Projects 5.5

Table 5.1 – Highway Project Evaluation Matrix 5.7

Table 5.2 – Highway Project Evaluation Matrix Total Benefit and Impact Matrix 5.11

Table 5.3 – Benefits of Corridor Access Management 5.12

Table 5.4 – Types of Corridors and Potential Solutions 5.16

Chapter 5 – Future Roadway Element (*continued*)

Figure 5.3A – Access Management Strategies (Liberty Street Corridor East) 5.18

Figure 5.3B – Access Management Strategies (Liberty Street Corridor West) 5.19

Figure 5.4 – Access Management Strategies (Broad Street Corridor) 5.21

Figure 5.5 – Access Management Strategies (Bultman Drive Corridor) 5.23

Figure 5.6 – Access Management Strategies (McCrays Mill Road Corridor) 5.25

Figure 5.7 – Access Management Strategies (Pinewood Road Corridor) 5.27

Typical Cross-Sections 5.32- 5.41

Chapter 6 – Bicycle and Pedestrian Element 6.1

Figure 6.1A – Existing Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities 6.8

Figure 6.1B – Existing Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities (Downtown Inset) 6.9

Figure 6.2 – Existing and Proposed Bicycle Facilities 6.13

Figure 6.3A – Existing and Proposed Pedestrian Facilities 6.16

Figure 6.3B – Existing and Proposed Pedestrian Facilities (Downtown Inset) 6.17

Chapter 7 – Transit Element 7.1

Figure 7.1 – Existing SWRTA Routes 7.3

Table 7.1 – Fixed Route Operations in Sumter 7.3

Table 7.2 – Sample Greyhound Fares 7.4

Figure 7.2 – Population Density and Transit Routes 7.7

Figure 7.3 – Vehicles Available and Transit Routes 7.8

SUATS
Long-Range Transportation Plan

Table of Contents (continued)

Chapter 8 – Freight and Aviation Element 8.1

Figure 8.1 – Existing Railroad Facilities and Industrial Parks 8.3

Figure 8.2 – Proposed Truck Route Designations 8.5

Figure 8.3 – Existing Airport 8.8

Table 8.1 – Historical Based Aircraft 8.9

Table 8.2 –Based Aircraft by Type 8.10

Table 8.3 –Projected Emplaned Pilots and Passengers 8.10

Chapter 9 – Scenario Planning 9.1

Figure 9.1 – Urban Form Category Descriptions (T1 – Natural Areas) 9.3

Figure 9.2 – Urban Form Category Descriptions (T2 – Rural) 9.4

Figure 9.3 – Urban Form Category Descriptions (T3 – Suburban) 9.5

Figure 9.4 – Urban Form Category Descriptions (T4 – General Urban) 9.6

Figure 9.5 – Urban Form Category Descriptions (T5 – Urban Core) 9.7

Figure 9.6 – Urban Form Category Descriptions (T6 – Special District Shaw AFB) 9.8

Table 9.1 – Comparison of Daily Travel Characteristics 9.10

Table 9.2 – Comparison of Scenario Land Use Profiles 9.11

Chapter 10 – Financial Plan 10.1

Table 10.1 – 2035 LRTP Revenue Forecast (Sales Tax Funding Included) 10.2

Table 10.2 – 2035 LRTP Costs (Projects Reflecting Current Funding Methods Only) 10.2

Table 10.3 – 2035 LRTP Revenue Forecast (Sales Tax Funding Included) 10.2

Table 10.4 – 2035 LRTP Costs (Projects Reflecting Sales Tax Funding Included) 10.2

Table 10.5 – Highway Costs and Revenues (Current Funding Methods Only) 10.2

Table 10.6 – Highway Costs and Revenues (Sales Tax Funding Included) 10.2

Table 10.7 – 2015 Roadway Projects (Current Funding Methods Only) 10.4

Table 10.8 – 2035 Roadway Projects (Current Funding Methods Only) 10.4

Figure 10.1 – Financially Constrained Projects (No Sales Tax) 10.5

Table 10.9 – Vision Roadway Projects (Current Funding Methods Only) 10.6

Table 10.10 – 2015 Roadway Projects (Sales Tax Funding Included) 10.7

Table 10.11 – 2035 Roadway Projects (Sales Tax Funding Included) 10.7

Table 10.12 – Vision Roadway Projects (Sales Tax Funding Included) 10.8

Figure 10.2 – Financially Constrained Projects (No Sales Tax) 10.9

Table 1013 – Pedestrian & Bicycle Costs and Revenues (Current Funding Methods Only) 10.10

Table 10.14 – Pedestrian & Bicycle Costs and Revenues (Sales Tax Funding Included) 10.10

Table 10.15 – Transit Costs and Revenues (Current Funding Methods Only) 10.10

Table 10.16 – Transit Costs and Revenues (Sales Tax Funding Included) 10.10

Chapter 11 – Implementation Plan 11.1